	Case 1:02-cv-05880-AWI-SMS Document 82 Filed 03/06/06 Page 1 of 2
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	JEFFREY M. DANIELS,) 1:02-CV-5880 AWI SMS P
12	Plaintiff,) ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
13	v.) ORDER DENTING MOTION TOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL (DOCUMENT #79)
14	J. MARSHALL, et al.,
15	
16	Defendants.
17	
18	Plaintiff Jeffrey M. Daniels ("plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma
19	pauperis in a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On February 1, 2006, plaintiff filed a
20	motion seeking the appointment of counsel.
21	The court cannot require an attorney to represent plaintiff. Mallard v. United States District
22	Court for the Southern District of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In certain exceptional circumstances,
23	the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Terrell
24	v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991). Without a reasonable method of securing and
25	compensating counsel, this court will seek volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional
26	cases. "A finding of exceptional circumstances requires an evaluation of both 'the likelihood of success
27	///
28	1

of the merits and the ability of the [plaintiff] to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved." Terrell, 935 F.2d at 1017 (citations omitted). In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Even if it is assumed that plaintiff is not well versed in the law and that he has made serious allegations which, if proved, would entitle him to relief, his case is not exceptional. This court is faced with similar cases almost daily. Further, at this stage in the proceedings, the court cannot make a determination that plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits, and based on a review of the record in this case, the court does not find that plaintiff cannot adequately articulate his claims. Terrell, 935 F.2d at 1017. For the foregoing reasons, plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel, filed February 1, 2006, is HEREBY DENIED. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: March 6, 2006 /s/ Sandra M. Snyder UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE i0d3h8